	Standard #4 Measurement and Analysis of Student Learning and Performance							
Performance Indicator	Definition							
	A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, faculty-designed examination, professional performance, licensure examination). Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in column two:  Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples of student work  Indirect - Assessing indicators other than student work such as getting feedback from the student or other persons who may provide relevant information.  Formative – An assessment conducted during the student's education.							

Summative – An assessment conducted at the end of the student's education.

Internal – An assessment instrument that was developed within the business unit.

External – An assessment instrument that was developed outside the business unit.

Comparative – Compare results between classes, between online and on ground classes, Between professors, between programs, between campuses, or compare to external results such as results from the U.S. Department of Education Research and Statistics, or results from a vendor providing comparable data.

			Analysis of Result	ts			
Identified in Criterion 4.2	Identified in Criterion 4.1	Identified in Criterion 4.2 Ident		Identified in Criterion 4.4	Identified in Criterio	on 4.2	
	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or d	Tables of Result ata points prefer	•
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?			
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparative						
Pre/Post test scores with a benchmark of 75 or a difference of 30 points between pre and post test scores (based on all accounting courses taught)	Formative, Internal data derived from Pre and post assessment	The current average post test score is 75 with a 34 point difference between pre and post test	The benchmark continues to be met. This year's results show an increase in the post test scores and the difference from last year.	Benchmark met		Pre post tes ference Benchm 72  32  2021	Benchmark Post =75 or Difference of 30 nark — Benchmark 75  34

			Analysis of Result	's	I
Identified in Criterion 4.2	Identified in Criterion 4.1	Identified in (		Identified in Criterion 4.4	Identified in Criterion 4.2
	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?	
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparative				
Pre/Post test scores with a benchmark of 75 or a difference of 30 points between pre and post test scores (based on all accounting courses taught at lower level)	from Pre and post assessment post wit diff	The current average post test score is 75 with a 34 point difference between pre and post test	The benchmark was met and shows the results are remaining steady	Benchmark met	AA Pre/ Post Assessment  Post Test Difference Benchmark Benchmark 74 75 75  34 34 34 34
					2020 2021 2022
Graduate Exit survey with a benchmark of 4 or above out of 5	Summative, External data derived from Graduate Exit Survey	The current results are 4.11	The benchmark for this year was met and is showing an upward trend from last year.	Benchmark met	BA Graduate Exit Survey  5 4 3.59 4 4.11 0 2020 2020 2021 2022

			Analysis of Resul	s				
Identified in Criterion 4.2	Identified in Criterion 4.1	Identified in Criterion 4.2		Identified in Criterion 4.4	Identified in Criterion 4.2			
	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)			
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?				
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparative							
Graduate Exit survey with a benchmark of 4 or above out of 5	Summative, External data derived from Graduate Exit Survey	The current results are 4.25	The benchmark was met and shows a slight decrease from last year.	Benchmark met	AA Graduate Exit Survey  5 4.27 4.31 2 1 0 2020 2021 2022			
Employer Satisfaction survey with a benchmark of 4 or above out of 5	Summative, External data derived from Employer Satisfaction Survey	The current results are 5	The benchmark was met and shows employers are highly satisfied with our graduates	Benchmark met	BA Employer Satisfaction Survey  5 4 3 2 1 0 2020 2021 2022			
Employer Satisfaction survey with a benchmark of 4 or above out of 5	Summative, External data derived from Employer Satisfaction Survey	There were no results for 2021 or 2022	There were no results for 2021 or 2022	The department will continue to encourage employers to fill out the survey and work with graduates to help collect this data from their employers	AA Employer Satisfaction Survey  5 4 3 2 1 0 2020 2021 2022			

			Analysis of Result	s				
Identified in Criterion 4.2	Identified in Criterion 4.1	Identified in Criterion 4.2		Identified in Criterion 4.4	Identified in Criterion 4.2			
	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	Analysis of Results	Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)			
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?				
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparative							
Placement Rate with a benchmark of 90%	Summative, External data derived from Job placement rates collected on an annual basis		The benchmark was met and showed that all students are being placed. This data is continually updated and the faculty decided that delaying reporting by 1 year would provide more accurate results of job placement rates.	Benchmark met	BA Job Placement Rates  98 100 98 92.3 92.3 92.3 92.3 2019 2020 2021			
Placement Rate with a benchmark of 90%	Summative, External data derived from Job placement rates collected on an annual basis		The benchmark was met and showed that all students are being placed. This data is continually updated and the faculty decided that delaying reporting by 1 year would provide more accurate results of job placement rates.	Benchmark met	AA Job Placement Rates  97.7 100 100 986 997 997 997 997 997 997 997 997 997 99			

			Analysis of Resul	s					
Identified in Criterion 4.2	Identified in Criterion 4.1	Identified in Criterion 4.2 Identified in Criterion 4.4			Identified in Criterion 4.2				
	What is your measurement instrument or process?	Current Results	ults Analysis of Results	ts Action Taken or Improvement made	Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends data points preferred)				
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?					
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparative								
Peregrine Examination with a benchmark of 60	Summative, External data derived from Peregrine Exam	The current results are 62.46	The current results are 62.46 which is above the ACBSP score of 54.15. This year's results are	Benchmark met	BA Peregrine Exam				
			above last year showing a positive trend so the benchmark was increased this year.	above last year showing a positive trend so the		80 62.21 61.62 60 40 20 0		62.46	
						020	2021	2022	