Figure 4.2 - Standard #4 Measurement and Analysis of Student Learning and Performance

		Use this table to	supply data for Cr	iterion 4.2.			
Performance Indicator	Definition						
1. Student Learning Results	A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. <i>Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, faculty-designed examination, professional performance, licensure examination).</i> Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in column two: Direct - Assessing student performance by examining samples of student work Indirect - Assessing indicators other than student work such as getting feedback from the student or other persons who may provide relevant information. Formative – An assessment conducted during the student's education. Summative – An assessment conducted at the end of the student's education. Internal – An assessment instrument that was developed within the business unit. External – An assessment instrument that was developed outside the business unit. Comparative – Compare results between classes, between online and on ground classes, Between professors, between programs, between campuses, or compare to external results such as results from the U.S. Department of Education Research and Statistics, or results from a vendor providing comparable data.						
		Analysis of Results					
Identified in Criterion 4.2	Identified in Criterion 4.1 What is your measurement instrument or process?	Identified in Cri Current Results	terion 4.2 Analysis of Results	Identified in Criterion 4.4 Action Taken or Improvement made	Identified in Criterion 4.2 Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred)		
Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?			
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparative						
Learning Outcomes Assessment with a benchmark of 3 out of 4	Formative, Internal data derived from learning outcomes assessment	The current result show 3.63 as the average	The benchmark was met, there was an overall increase from 2020 and an upward trend in the data.	Benchmark met	Assessment Assessment Assessment Assessment 2 1 2 2019 2020 2021		

Accounting Research Project with a benchmark of 3 out of 4	Summative, Internal data derived from Accounting Research assessment rubric	The current result show 3.14 as the average	The benchmark was met, there was an overall increase from 2020. This year is more in line with past years.	Benchmark met	MAcc Accounting Research Project		
					4 3 2 1 0 2019	2.59	3.14
Graduate exit survey with a benchmark 3 out of 4	Summative, External data derived from Graduate Exit Survey	The current result show 3.47 as the average	The benchmark is met however there was a decrease from last year.	Benchmark met	MAcc G 4.00 3.69 3.00 2.00 1.00 0.00 2019	raduate Exit S	2021

Employer Satisfaction survey with a benchmark 4 out of 5	Summative, External data derived from Employer Satisfaction Survey		The department will continue to encourage employers to fill out the	MAcc Employer Satisfaction Survey		
			survey	5 4 3 2 1	4.9	
				0 2019	2020	2021

Placement Rate with a benchmark of 90%	Summative, External data derived from Job Placement Rates		Based on the change in reporting, the placement rates are now more reflective of the actual program results. In the past, not all placement data was entered into the system by year end for this report especially for those students that graduated in December.	Benchmark met	MAcc Job Placement Rates 100 95 90 85 80 2018 2019 2020
Peregrine Examination with a benchmark of 50	Summative, External data derived from Peregrine Exam	The current results are 64.45	The benchmark was met and is above the ACBSP average of 58.4 . There was a decrease from last year but is fairly consistent from prior years reported.	Benchmark met	MAcc Peregrine Exam